## SUPPORT FOR THE AMENDMENTS

The amendments to the claims submitted above are supported by the specification.

Accordingly, no new matter is believed to have been added to the present application by the amendments submitted above.

11

Application No. 09/830,669

Reply to Office Action of August 4, 2009

**REMARKS** 

Claims 86-104 and 106-132 are pending. Favorable reconsideration is respectfully

requested.

Applicants would like to thank Examiner Dunston for the helpful and courteous

discussion held with their representative on November 3, 2009. During the discussion, the

amendments submitted above were discussed in order to address the rejection under 35

U.S.C. §112, first paragraph. The following remarks expand on the discussion with the

Examiner.

The objection to Claim 116 is believed to be obviated by the amendment submitted

above. Accordingly, withdrawal of this objection is respectfully requested.

The rejections of the claims under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, are believed to be

obviated by the amendments submitted above. Claims 103 and 103 now specify an E. coli

cell.

In view of these amendments, the rejections are believed to be moot. Accordingly,

withdrawal of these grounds of rejection is respectfully requested.

Applicants submit that the present application is in condition for allowance. Early

notice to this effect is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND,

MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number

22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220

(OSMMN 08/03)

James J. Kelly, Ph.D.

Attorney of Record

Registration No. 41,504